

DATE 11/9/2007

APPLICATION NUMBER 10/606,935

DOC CODE EXIN

DOC DATE 11/9/2007

DELIVER THE ATTACHED FILE/DOCUMENT TO THE TC  
SCANNING CENTER

CONTRACTOR: THE ATTACHED FILE/DOCUMENT MUST BE  
INDEXED AND SCANNED INTO IFW WITHIN 8 WORK HOURS;  
UPLOADING OF THE SCANNED IMAGES SHOULD OCCUR NO  
LATER THAN 16 WORK HOURS  
FOLLOWING RECEIPT OF THIS REQUEST

AFTER SCANNING, ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE BOXED IN  
ACCORDANCE WITH INSTRUCTIONS

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,935	TERAHARA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shi K. Li	2613	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shi K. Li (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Luminita A Todor (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 09 November 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bigo et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discuss the 112 rejection of claim 18. The Examiner explains that it is "how to determine a spectrum width based on a bit rate and a type of coding" that the specification fails to teach. Ms. Todor suggests some alternative claim language to overcome the 112 rejection. Ms. Todor also presents a proposed amendment for the subject matter. In general, the invention is related to efficiently packing channels into light spectrum. However, Bigo et al. also teach packing channels efficiently into a light spectrum. Examiner would reconsider the rejection in light of any arguments. Additional search would be needed based on amended claims.